

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA**
Alexandria Division

<hr/>)	
VERIZON SERVICES CORP.,)	
ET AL.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
V.)	Civil Action No. 1:06cv682
)	
VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP.,)	
ET AL.,)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>)	
VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP.,)	
ET AL.,)	
)	
Counterclaim-Plaintiffs,)	
V.)	
)	
VERIZON SERVICES CORP.,)	
ET AL.,)	
)	
Counterclaim-Defendants.)	
<hr/>)	

ORDER

On March 13, 2007, this Court entered judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs, Verizon Services Corp., Verizon Laboratories Inc. and Verizon Communications, Inc., and against the Defendants, Vonage Holdings Corp. and Vonage America, Inc., following a trial by jury in which claim 20 of the '711 patent, claim 27 of the '574 patent, and claims 1 and 6-8 of the '880 patent were found to be infringed, corresponding to Counts 3,4, and 7 of Verizon's Amended Complaint.

On March 21, 2007, this Court dismissed with prejudice Counts 5 and 6 of Verizon's Amended Complaint, and Counts V, VI,

XII, XIII, XVII and XVIII of Vonage's Amended Counterclaim concerning the '304 and '062 patents.

This Court hereby enters judgment in favor of the Defendants, Vonage Holdings Corp. and Vonage America, Inc., and against the Plaintiffs, Verizon Services Corp., Verizon Laboratories, Inc. and Verizon Communications, Inc., following the trial by jury in which claims 1 and 2 of the '275 patent and claims 1 and 2 of the '869 patent were found not to be infringed, corresponding to Counterclaim Counts I and II of Defendants' Answer to the Amended Complaint and Counterclaims, and hereby declares that Vonage does not infringe the '275 and '869 patents literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

This Court DISMISSES with prejudice all remaining claims and counterclaims in this action.

/s/

CLAUDE M. HILTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Alexandria, Virginia
April 12 , 2007